Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria improved growth, nutrient, and hormone content of cabbage (Brassica oleracea) seedlings

METİN TURAN

MELEK EKİNCİ

ERTAN YILDIRIM

ADEM GÜNEŞ

KENAN KARAGÖZ

See next page for additional authors

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria improved growth, nutrient, and hormone content of cabbage (Brassica oleracea) seedlings

Authors

METİN TURAN, MELEK EKİNCİ, ERTAN YILDIRIM, ADEM GÜNEŞ, KENAN KARAGÖZ, RECEP KOTAN, and ATİLLA DURSUN

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria improved growth, nutrient, and hormone content of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) seedlings

Metin TURAN[1], Melek EKİNCİ[2], Ertan YILDIRIM[2,*], Adem GÜNEŞ[3], Kenan KARAGÖZ[4], Recep KOTAN[4], Atilla DURSUN[2]

[1]Department of Genetics and Bioengineering, Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Yeditepe University, Kayışdağı, İstanbul, Turkey
[2]Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Atatürk University, Erzurum, Turkey
[3]Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey
[4]Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Atatürk University, Erzurum, Turkey

Abstract: A greenhouse experiment was conducted to observe the effects of *Bacillus megaterium* strain TV-91C, *Pantoea agglomerans* strain RK-92, and *B. subtilis* strain TV-17C inoculation on the growth, nutrient, and hormone content of cabbage seedlings. The seeds of cabbage were incubated in flasks by shaking at 80 rpm for 2 h at 28 °C to coat the seeds with the rhizobacteria. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) treatments increased fresh and dry shoot and root weight, stem diameter, seedling height, chlorophyll reading values, and leaf area of cabbage seedlings compared with the control. Among the strains, *B. megaterium* TV-91C gave the greatest seedling nutrient content and growth parameters, although the maximum values for leaf area, gibberellic acid, salicylic acid, and indole acetic acid (IAA) contents of seedlings were obtained with the *P. agglomerans* RK-92 treatment. Seed inoculation with *B. megaterium* TV-91C increased fresh and dry shoot and root weight by 32.9%, 22.6%, 16.0%, and 35.69%, respectively. Inoculations also increased the stem diameter, seedling height, and SPAD chlorophyll values by 47.5%, 27.2%, and 5.8%, respectively. Furthermore, compared to the control, *P. agglomerans* RK-92 increased gibberellic acid, salicylic acid, IAA, and leaf area by 13.9%, 70.9%, 38.5%, and 27.3%, respectively. PGPR treatments may improve seedling growth and quality in cabbages.

Key words: Seedling quality, plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, hormone, plant nutrition element

1. Introduction

The seedling is one of the most important inputs for high-yield production of vegetable crops. Seedling quality is a prerequisite for successful stand establishment and uniform plant growth and development. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) have been used for plant production but little is known about the mechanism(s) involved in their effect on seedling performance (Yildirim et al., 2011a).

There has been growing evidence that extensive use of chemical fertilizers can be costly and can create serious environmental problems. Large amounts of chemical fertilizers are used to replace soil nitrogen and phosphorus, but they can be expensive and also contaminate the environment. The efficiency of applied fertilizer is estimated to be about or lower than 50% for N, less than 10% for P, and about 40% for potassium in chemical fertilizer, and it is lower for manure (Baligar et al., 2001). Despite the deleterious environmental effects, the total amount of inorganic fertilizers used worldwide is expected to increase to produce more food via intensive agriculture

for the increasing world population (Adesemoye et al., 2009). Current efforts have been focused on the decreased use of chemical pesticides and inorganic fertilizers in agriculture, prompting the search for alternative ways to enhance soil fertility and crop production. Because the use of PGPR with the aim of improving nutrient availability for plants can be important for agriculture (Freitas et al., 2007), PGPRs have been recently used increasingly worldwide in sustainable agriculture as biological fertilizer (Yildirim et al., 2011a).

The growth-promoting activities of PGPR on plants can be explained in various ways, including through biocontrol and induction of disease resistance in the inoculated plant, biological N_2 fixation, phosphorus solubilization, and/or production of phytohormone (Mia et al., 2012). Research should not ignore the potential to improve plant production through PGPR inoculation via mechanisms that do not involve biological nitrogen fixation. Many soil- and plant-associated rhizobacteria are able to synthesize phytohormones (Bastian et al., 1998). *Rhizobium*, *Azotobacter*, *Acetobacter*, and *Herbaspirillum*

isolates can excrete and synthesize gibberellins, auxin, and cytokinins (Atzorn et al. 1988; Bastian et al., 1998). Earlier studies showed that PGPR could stimulate the growth and yield of some vegetable crops such as tomato, lettuce, and broccoli (Turan et al., 2007; Yildirim et al., 2008, 2011b; Gunes et al., 2009).

Commercial vegetable seedling production in Turkey has increased significantly in recent years and annual production has reached 2.5 billion. A large number of *Brassica* seedlings, including cabbage, are produced commercially. Liquid fertilizers including N are used during seedling production. Soilless plant growth media mixes have been reported to be ideal for delivery of PGPR for transplanted crops (Kokalis-Burelle et al., 2002). PGPR treatments significantly increased the shoot and root weight and improved the seedling quality of melon and watermelon (Kokalis-Burelle et al., 2003). Most studies with PGPR have been conducted to determine the direct beneficial effects on plant growth and yield, but there is a lack of information about the effect of PGPR on the seedling quality of cabbage. Therefore, this study was carried out to investigate the effects of PGPR seed inoculation on seedling growth and plant nutrient and hormone content of cabbage under greenhouse conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Growth conditions and plant materials
This study was conducted under greenhouse conditions at Atatürk University, Turkey, in 2012. Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* 'Yalova1') seedlings were maintained under natural light conditions, approximate day/night temperatures of 25/14 °C, and 75% relative humidity during the experiment. Cabbage seeds were sown into 45-celled trays (30 cm³) filled with peat. There was no nutrition application during the experiments.

2.2. Bacterial strains
The bacterial strains (*Bacillus megaterium* TV-91C, *Pantoea agglomerans* RK-92, and *Bacillus subtilis* TV-17C) were obtained from the culture collection unit of the Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Atatürk University, Turkey. They had been isolated from plant rhizospheres and phyllospheres in the East Anatolia region of Turkey (Kotan et al., 2005). The bacterial cultures were grown on nutrient agar for routine use and were maintained in Luria Broth with 15% glycerol at –80 °C for long-term storage.

2.3. Identification of the bacterial strains by microbial identification system
Identification of the bacterial strains tested was confirmed by using a microbial identification system (MIS). Preparation and analysis of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) from whole-cell fatty acids of bacterial strains was performed according to the method described in the manufacturer's manual (Sherlock Microbial Identification System version 4.0, MIDI, Inc., Newark, DE, USA). FAMEs were separated by gas chromatography (HP-6890, Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA) with a fused-silica capillary column (25 m × 0.2 mm, with cross-linked 5% phenyl methyl silicone). Each bacterial strain was identified by comparing its FAME profile with those in commercial databases (TSBA 40) using the MIS software package.

2.4. Characteristics of the bacterial strains
The bacteria were tested for N_2-fixing ability as described by Dobereiner et al. (1988). Ability of rhizobacterial isolates to grow on Dobereiner N-free culture medium indicated their nonsymbiotic N_2-fixation ability. Phosphate solubilization capacity was tested on the National Botanical Research Institute's phosphate growth medium (NBRIP-BPB) according to Metha and Nautiyal (2001). The bacterial colonies, selected and purified, were inoculated (50 µL inoculum with approximately 1 or 2×10^9 cfu mL^{-1}) into 5 mL of NBRIP-BPB medium. Autoclaved, uninoculated media served as controls. Furthermore, we determined the hormone content [gibberellic acid, indole acetic acid (IAA), and salicylic acid] of the PGPR used in the study. PGPR strains have been shown to produce gibberellic acid, IAA, and salicylic acid (data not shown here).

2.5. Media and growth condition for bacteria
Tryptic soy agar (TSA, Oxoid) and tryptic soy broth (TSB, Oxoid) were used in the experiments. All bacterial isolates were incubated in TSA at 27 °C for 24 h. After incubation, single colonies were transferred to 500-mL flasks containing TSB and grown aerobically on a rotating shaker (150 rpm) for 48 h at 27 °C (Merck KGaA, Germany). The bacterial suspension was then diluted in sterile distilled water (sdH$_2$O) to a final concentration of 1×10^8 cfu mL^{-1} as measured with a turbidimeter.

2.6. Coating procedure of bacteria on the seeds
The seeds were surface-disinfected to avoid the presence of any saprophytic and/or pathogenic microorganisms on the seed surface by dipping the seeds for 3 min in 3% sodium hypochlorite and washing 4 times in sterilized water. Seeds were left to dry on sterile Whatman filter papers overnight in a laminar flow hood.

Bacteria were grown in 50-mL flasks containing 20 mL of TSB medium on a rotary shaker at 27 °C for 24 h. Absorbance of the bacterial suspensions was measured spectrophotometrically at 600 nm and they were diluted to 1×10^8 cfu mL^{-1} in sdH$_2$O. Approximately 0.2 g of sucrose (10 mg mL^{-1}) was added to each Erlenmeyer flask, and 90 g of the surface-sterilized seeds were soaked in this suspension. The seeds were incubated in the flasks by shaking at 80 rpm for 2 h at 28 °C to coat the seeds with the bacteria. After shaking, the seeds were air-dried on sterile Whatman filter papers overnight in the laminar flow hood. Seeds soaked in TSB medium amended with sucrose served as the control.

2.7. Chlorophyll reading values

A portable chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502; Konica Minolta Sensing, Inc., Japan) was used to measure leaf greenness. This estimates total chlorophyll in leaves in a nondestructive method (Neufeld et al., 2006). For each plant, measurements were taken at 4 locations on each leaf, 2 on each side of the midrib on all fully expanded leaves (Khan et al. 2003), and the same leaves were used for chemical analyses.

2.8. Growth parameters

Forty days after sowing, 20 plants from each replicate were harvested, and shoot and root fresh and dry weights, stem diameter, seedling height, and leaf number were determined. The plant material for dry weight was dried at 70 °C for 48 h. The area of the green leaves was quantified with a leaf area meter (LI-3100, LI-COR).

2.9. Mineral analysis

Leaf tissue samples were taken during harvest, then oven-dried at 68 °C for 48 h, ground, and passed through a 1-mm sieve. The Kjeldahl method and a Vapodest 10 Rapid Kjeldahl Distillation Unit (Gerhardt, Germany) were used to determine total N (Bremner, 1996). Macroelements (P, K, Ca, Mg, and Na) and microelements (Fe, Mn, and Cu) were determined after wet digestion of dried and ground subsamples using a HNO_3-H_2O_2 acid mixture (2:3, v/v) with 3 steps [first step: 145 °C, 75% radio-frequency power (RF), 5 min; second step: 180 °C, 90% RF, 10 min; and third step: 100 °C, 40% RF, 10 min] in a microwave digester (Bergof Speedwave Microwave Digestion Equipment MWS-2; Berghof Products and Instruments, Germany) (Mertens, 2005a). Tissue P, K, Ca, Mg, Na, Fe, Mn, and Cu were determined using an inductively coupled plasma spectrophotometer (Optima 2100 DV, ICP/OES; PerkinElmer, USA) (Mertens, 2005b).

2.10. Hormone analysis

Extraction and purification processes were executed as described by Kuraishi et al. (1991) and Battal and Tileklioğlu (2001). Methanol 80% at –40 °C was added to fresh leaf samples (Davies, 1995). After the material was homogenized for 10 min with Ultra Turrax, it was incubated for 24 h in the dark. The samples were filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper and the supernatants were filtered again through a 0.45-µm pore filter (Cutting, 1991). Supernatants were dried at 35 °C using an evaporator pump. Dried supernatants were dissolved in 0.1 M KH_2PO_4 (pH 8.0). Extracts were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 1 h at 4 °C to separate fatty acids (Palni et al., 1983). Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVPP), 1 g, was added to the supernatant to separate phenolic and colored materials (Chen, 1991; Hernandez-Miana, 1991; Qamaruddin, 1996). The supernatant was then filtered through Whatman No. 1 paper to remove the PVPP

(Cheikh and Jones, 1994). For further specific separation, a Sep-Pak C-18 (Waters) cartridge was used. Hormones absorbed by the cartridge were transferred to vials using 80% methanol. The hormones were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Zorbax Eclipse-AAA C-18 column (Agilent 1200 HPLC) and by absorbance at 265 nm in a UV detector. Flow speed was set to 1.2 mL min⁻¹ at a column temperature of 25 °C. Gibberellic acid, salicylic acid, IAA, and abscisic acid levels were determined using 13% acetonitrile (pH 4.98) as the mobile phase.

2.11. Statistical analysis

Experiments were repeated twice. Each experiment consisted of a completely randomized design with 4 replicates per treatment and 45 plants per replicate. Data were subjected to analysis of variance using SPSS 18 (PASW Statistics 18) (SPSS Inc., 2010). Means were separated by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT). There were no significant interactions by experiments; therefore, the data were pooled.

3. Results

3.1. Nitrogen fixation and phosphate solubilization activity

The bacterial strains were confirmed as *Bacillus megaterium* TV-91C, *Pantoea agglomerans* RK-92, and *Bacillus subtilis* TV-17C (Table 1). All strains showed capacity to grow in N-free conditions and to solubilize phosphate.

3.2. Seedling growth parameters

PGPR treatments improved the growth parameters of cabbage seedlings. All parameters investigated, with the exception of true leaf numbers, were significantly affected by PGPR inoculations (Table 2). PGPR treatments increased fresh and dry shoot and root weight, stem diameter, seedling height, chlorophyll reading values, and leaf area of cabbage seedlings compared with the control. Highest fresh and dry shoot and root dry weight, stem diameter, seedling height, and chlorophyll reading values of cabbage seedlings were obtained from *Bacillus megaterium* TV-91C and following *P. agglomerans* RK-92 and *B. subtilis* TV-17C treatment. However, the leaf area was the greatest in *P. agglomerans* RK-92 (Table 2).

On average, seed inoculation with *B. megaterium* TV-91C increased fresh and dry shoot and root weight by 32.9%, 22.6%, 16.0%, and 35.6%, respectively, and increased stem diameter, seedling height, and SPAD chlorophyll by 47.5%, 27.2%, and 5.8%, respectively, compared with the control, while the leaf area increase rate was 27.3% with *P. agglomerans* RK-92.

3.3. Nutrient content

The concentrations of macro- and micronutrients in cabbage seedlings in response to PGPR treatments

Table 1. Nitrogen fixation and phosphate-solubilizing activity of the tested bacterial strains.

Bacterial strains	Isolated from	Nitrogen fixation	Phosphate solubilization
Bacillus megaterium TV-91C	Sugar beet	+	w+
Pantoea agglomerans RK-92	Pear	+	s+
Bacillus subtilis TV-17C	Rye	+	w+

+: Positive reaction; s+: strong positive reaction; w+: weak positive reaction.

Table 2. Seedling growth parameters and chlorophyll reading values of cabbage seedlings in response to PGPR treatments.

Parameters	Control	TV-91C	RK-92	TV-17C
Fresh shoot weight (g)	32.0 b**	42.5 a	40.8 a	33.6 b
Dry shoot weight (g)	3.31 b*	3.84 a	3.82 a	3.69 a
Fresh root weight (g)	9.85 b*	12.08 a	11.28 ab	10.86 ab
Dry root weight (g)	0.59 c**	0.80 ab	0.83 a	0.71 b
Seedling height (cm)	11.3 b*	14.3 a	13.9 a	13.8 a
Stem diameter (mm)	2.76 c**	4.07 a	3.63 ab	3.33 b
True leaf number	3.67 ns	4.00	4.03	4.00
Chlorophyll reading value	40.1 b*	42.4 a	39.5 b	41.4 ab
Leaf area	21.54 c*	25.17 ab	27.41 a	22.83 bc

*$P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; ns: $P > 0.05$. Means within rows not followed by the same letter differ significantly at $P < 0.05$ by DMRT.

are shown in Table 3. The nutrient content of cabbage seedlings was significantly affected by PGPR treatments. PGPR inoculations increased the plant nutrient element content, with the exception of Na and Cu. The highest concentrations for N and P were recorded in *B. megaterium* TV-91C, while in *B. subtilis* TV-17C for Ca, Na, and Fe and in *P. agglomerans* RK-92 for K, Mg, and Mn.

Seed inoculation with *B. megaterium* TV-91C increased N and P by 18.0% and 10.2%; *P. agglomerans* RK-92 increased K, Mg, and Mn concentrations of plants by 5.0%, 25.3%, and 21.7%; and *B. subtilis* TV-17C increased Na, Ca, and Fe concentrations by 4.5%, 10.9%, and 36.6%, respectively, compared with the control.

3.4. Hormone content
The hormone content of cabbage seedlings was significantly affected by PGPR treatments. PGPR inoculations increased gibberellic acid, salicylic acid, and IAA concentrations. The greatest values for gibberellic acid, salicylic acid, and IAA content were recorded in *P. agglomerans* RK-92, while abscisic acid was highest in the control treatment. *B. subtilis* TV-17C decreased the abscisic acid content compared to the other treatments. The increase rates of gibberellic acid, salicylic acid, and IAA were 13.88%, 70.93%, and 38.51%, respectively, in comparison to the control (Table 4).

4. Discussion
The improved root and shoot growth in response to all inoculants compared with the control indicates the beneficial role of these rhizobacteria. The improving effect of seed inoculation with rhizobacteria on shoot dry weight and yield of plant were reported earlier by Yildirim et al. (2008, 2011b), Gunes et al. (2009), Karlidag et al. (2011), and Turan et al. (2012). Such an improvement might be attributed to the N_2-fixing and phosphate-solubilizing capacities of bacteria, as well as the ability of these microorganisms to produce growth-promoting substances such as IAA (Salantur et al., 2006).

PGPRs have been reported to stimulate nutrient content in tomato, radish, lettuce, and strawberry (Turan et al., 2007; Yildirim et al., 2008, 2011b; Gunes et al., 2009; Karlidag et al., 2011). PGPR applications such as *Bacillus* M3 OSU-142 increased N, P, Ca, Fe, and Zn concentrations of plant leaves, consistent with the present results. PGPRs promote the growth of the plant and increase the root surface area or the general root architecture (Bhattacharyya and Jha, 2012). Auxins excreted by rhizobacteria can improve root growth, resulting in an increased uptake of essential nutrients (Vikram, 2007). Plant developmental processes are also controlled by internal signals that depend on

Table 3. Macro- and micronutrient element content of cabbage seedlings in response to PGPR treatments

Element	Control	TV-91C	RK-92	TV-17C
N (%)	0.78 c **	0.92 a	0.79 bc	0.86 ab
Na ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$)	142 ab*	130 b	139 ab	149 a
K ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$)	4599 b***	4829 a	4830 a	4810 a
Ca ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$)	3826 c***	4074 b	3919 c	4242 a
Mg ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$)	189 c***	218 b	236 a	197 c
P ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$)	671 c***	740 a	699 b	713 b
Fe ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$)	28.6 c**	32.8 b	33.7 ab	36.6 a
Cu ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$)	3.21 ns	3.15	3.25	3.19
Mn ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$)	3.87 d***	4.45 b	4.71 a	4.29 c

* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$; ns: $P > 0.05$. Means within rows not followed by the same letter differ significantly at $P < 0.05$ by DMRT.

Table 4. Hormone content of cabbage seedlings in response to PGPR treatments.

	Control	TV-91C	RK-92	TV-17C
Gibberellic acid (ng μL^{-1})	190 b*	215 a	216 a	214 a
Salicylic acid (ng μL^{-1})	44.7 b**	50.6 b	76.4 a	53.8 a
Abscisic acid (ng μL^{-1})	0.23 a*	0.17 b	0.21 a	0.22 a
Indole acetic acid (ng μL^{-1})	6.31 c**	7.67 b	8.74 a	7.82 b

* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$. Means within rows not followed by the same letter differ significantly at $P < 0.05$ by DMRT.

the adequate supply of mineral nutrients by soil to roots. Thus, the availability of nutrient elements can be a major constraint to plant growth in many environments of the world, especially in the tropics where soils are extremely low in nutrients. Plants take up most of their mineral nutrients through the rhizosphere, where microorganisms interact with plant products in root exudates. Plant root exudates consist of a complex mixture of organic acid anions, phytosiderophores, sugars, vitamins, amino acids, purines, nucleosides, inorganic ions (e.g., $HCO3^-$, OH^-, H^+), gaseous molecules ($CO2$, $H2$), enzymes, and root border cells, which have major direct or indirect effects on the acquisition of mineral nutrients required for plant growth (Bottini et al., 2004; Turan et al., 2012).

The present experiment revealed that seed inoculation with *B. megaterium* TV-91C, *P. agglomerans* RK-92, and *B. subtilis* TV-17C resulted in increased root and shoot weight, seedling height, leaf area, and chlorophyll content in the greenhouse. The most effective bacteria in terms of fresh and dry shoot and root weight, stem diameter, seedling height, and chlorophyll reading values of cabbage seedlings was *B. megaterium* TV-91C, but *P. agglomerans* RK-92 was the most effective on leaf area of the seedlings. In a previous study, it was reported that application of *P. agglomerans* RK-92, also used in the

present study, increased growth and yield parameters of dry bean (Tozlu et al., 2012). The positive effects of PGPR on the yield and growth of crops such as wheat (Ozturk et al., 2003; Salantur et al., 2006; Bulut, 2013), maize (Egamberdiyeva, 2007), soy bean (Cattelan et al., 1999), and sugar beet (Cakmakci et al., 2006) were explained by N_2-fixation ability, phosphate-solubilizing capacity, and phytohormone production. Similar increases in plant root and shoot weight, stem diameter, and leaf area were observed in different crops inoculated with *Pseudomonas*, *Azospirillum*, and *Azotobacter* strains (Martinez-Toledo et al., 1998; Siddiqui and Shaukat, 2002; Shaukat et al., 2006).

It is suggested that the tested PGPR strains influenced root hormone levels by producing IAA and/or other plant hormones in the rhizosphere, which were then absorbed by the root. Abbass and Okon (1993) suggested that IAA and other plant hormones could be responsible for improved growth of canola, tomato, and wheat inoculated with *Azotobacter paspali*.

Researchers have recently identified cytokinin, gibberellin, auxin, and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase production by PGPR (Timmusk et al., 1999; Gutierrez Mañero et al., 2001). Many PGPRs have the ability to produce plant growth regulators, and these regulators may play an important role in plant growth

promotion (Bent et al., 2001; Patten and Glick, 2002). Application of IAA to P-deficient plants improved root surface area, carbohydrate release, and acid phosphatase activity (Wittenmayer and Merbach, 2005). In this study, the N-fixation ability of PGPRs could have been the main factor affecting seedling growth. Solubilization of P and production of hormones such as IAA may also have positive effects on seedling growth on cucumber.

The present study indicates that P-solubilizing, N_2-fixing, and phytohormone-producing PGPR strains stimulated seedling nutrient content and root and shoot growth. Microbial fertilization could be an alternative to mineral N and P fertilizer sources for seed germination and seedling performance in greenhouse conditions. Because of the environmental pollution associated with the excessive use of N and P fertilizers, PGPR can be a promising alternative for vegetable seedling production. This study showed that inoculation of seeds with PGPR under greenhouse conditions may help to reduce or replace the total amount of starter mineral fertilizers necessary to obtain maximum seedling performance for sustainable agriculture. Further studies may be important to investigate the effect of PGPR on seedling performance of different cultivated plants.

References

Abbass Z, Okon Y (1993). Plant growth promotion by *Azotobacter paspali* in the rhizosphere. Soil Biol Biochem 25: 1075–1083.

Adesemoye AO, Torbert HA, Kloepper JW. (2009). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria allow reduced application rates of chemical fertilizers. Microb Ecol 58: 921–929.

Atzorn R, Crozier A, Wheeler C, Sandberg G (1988). Production of gibberellins and indole 3-acetic acid by *Rhizobium phaseoli* in relation to nodulation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* roots. Planta 175: 532–538.

Baligar VC, Fageria NK, He ZL (2001). Nutrient use efficiency in plants. Commun Soil Sci Plan 32: 921–950.

Bastian F, Cohen A, Piccoli P, Luna V, Baraldi R, Bottini R (1998). Production of indole-3-acetic acid and gibberellins A1 and A3 by *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* and *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* in chemically-defined culture media. Plant Growth Regul 24: 7–11.

Battal P, Tileklioğlu B (2001). The effects of different mineral nutrients on the levels of cytokinins in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Turk J Bot 25: 123–130.

Bent E, Tuzun S, Chanway CP, Enebak S (2001). Alterations in plant growth and in root hormone levels of lodgepole pines inoculated with rhizobacteria. Can J Microbiol 47: 793–800.

Bhattacharyya PN, Jha DK (2012). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. World J Microb Biot 28: 1327–1350.

Bottini R, Cassan F, Piccoli, P (2004) Gibberellin production by bacteria and its involvement in plant growth promotion and yield increase. App Microb Biot 65: 497–503.

Bremner JM (1996). Nitrogen—total. In: Sparks DL, editor. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part III. Chemical Methods. 2nd ed. Madison, WI, USA: Soil Science Society of America, pp. 1085–1122.

Bulut S (2013). Evaluation of yield and quality parameters of phosphorous-solubilizing and N-fixing bacteria inoculated in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Turk J Agric For 37: 545–554.

Cakmakci R, Aydın A, Sahin F (2006). Growth promotion of plants by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria under greenhouse and two different field soil conditions. Soil Biol Biochem 38: 1482–1487.

Cattelan AJ, Hartel PG, Fuhrmann JJ (1999). Screening for plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria to promote early soybean growth. Soil Sci Soc Am J 63: 1670–1680.

Cheikh N, Jones RJ (1994). Disruption of maize kernel growth and development by heat stress. Plant Physiol 106: 45–51.

Chen WS (1991). Changes in cytokinins before and during early flower bud differentiation in lychee (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). Plant Physiol 96: 1203–1206.

Cutting JGM (1991). Determination of the cytokinin complement in healthy and witches broom malformed protease. J Plant Growth Regul 10: 85–89.

Davies PJ (1995). The plant hormones; their nature, occurrence and functions. In: Davies PJ, editor. Plant Hormones. Boston, MA, USA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 1–39.

Dobereiner J, Reis VM, Lazarini AC (1988). New N2 fixing bacteria in association with cereals and sugar cane. In: Bothe H, Bruijn FJ, Newton WE, editors. Nitrogen Fixation: A Hundred Years After. Stuttgart, Germany: Fischer, pp. 717–722

Egamberdiyeva D (2007). The effect of plant growth promoting bacteria on growth and nutrient uptake of maize in two different soils. Appl Soil Eco 36: 184–189.

Freitas ADS, Vieira CL, Santos CERS, Stamford NP, Lyra MCCP (2007). Caracterização de rizóbios isolados de Jacatupé cultivado em solo salino no Estado de Pernanbuco, Brasil. Bragantia 66: 497–504 (article in Portuguese).

Gunes A, Ataoglu N, Turan M, Esitken A, Ketterings QM (2009). Effects of phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms on strawberry yield and nutrient concentrations. J Plant Nutr Soil Sc 172: 385–392.

Gutiérrez-Mañero F, Ramos-Solano B, Probanza A, Mehouachi J, Tadeo FR, Talon M (2001). The plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria *Bacillus pumilus* and *Bacillus licheniformis* produce high amounts of physiologically active gibberellins. Physiol Plant 111: 206–211.

Hernandez-Minea FM (1991). Identification of cytokinins and the changes in their endogenous levels in developing *Citrus sinensis* leaves. J Hortic Sci 66: 505–511.

Karlidag H, Esitken A, Yildirim E, Donmez MF, Turan M (2011). Effects of plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB) on yield, growth, leaf water content, membrane permeability and ionic composition of strawberry under saline conditions. J Plant Nutr 34: 34–45.

Khan W, Prithiviraj B, Smith DL (2003). Photosynthetic responses of corn and soybean to foliar application of salicylates. J Plant Physiol 160: 485–492.

Kokalis-Burelle N, Vavrina CS, Reddy MS, Kloepper JW (2003). Amendment of muskmelon and watermelon transplant media with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria; effects on seedling quality, disease and nematode resistance. Hort Technology 13: 476–482.

Kokalis-Burelle N, Vavrina CS, Rosskopf EN, Shelby RA (2002). Field evaluation of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria amended of transplant mixes and soil solarization for tomato and pepper production in Florida. Plant Soil 238: 257–266.

Kotan R, Sahin F, Ala A (2005). Identification and pathogenicity of bacteria isolated from pome fruits trees in eastern Anatolia region of Turkey. J Plant Dis Protect 113: 8–13.

Kuraishi S, Tasaki K, Sakurai N, Sadatoku K (1991). Changes in levels of cytokinins in etiolated squash seedlings after illumination. Plant Cell Physiol 32: 585–591.

Martinez-Toledo MV, Gonzalez-Lopez J, Rubia T de la, Moreno J, Ramos-Cormenzana A (1988). Effect of inoculation with *Azotobacter chroococcum* on nitrogenase activity of *Zea mays* roots grown in agricultural soils under aseptic and non-sterile conditions. Biol Fertil Soils 6: 170–173.

Mertens D (2005a). Plants preparation of laboratory sample. In: Horwitz W, Latimer GW, editors. Official Methods of Analysis, 18th ed. Gaithersburg, MD, USA: AOAC, pp. 1–2.

Mertens D (2005b). Metal in plants and pet foods. In: Horwitz W, Latimer GW, editors. Official Methods of Analysis. 18th ed. Gaithersburg, MD, USA: AOAC, pp. 3–4.

Metha S, Nautiyal CS (2001). An efficient method for qualitative screening of phosphate solubilizing bacteria. Curr Microbiol 43: 51–56.

Mia MAB, Shamsuddin ZH, Mahmood M (2012). Effects of rhizobia and plant growth promoting bacteria inoculation on germination and seedling vigor of lowland rice. Afr J Biotechnol 11: 3758–3765.

Miller LT (1982). Single derivatization method for routine analysis of bacterial whole-cell fatty acid methyl esters, including hydroxy acids. J Clin Microbiol 16: 584–586.

Neufeld H, Chappelka AH, Somers GL, Burkey KO, Davison AW, Finkelstein P (2006). Visible foliar injury caused by ozone alters the relationship between SPAD meter readings and chlorophyll concentrations in cut leaf coneflower. Photosynth Res 87: 281–286.

Ozturk A, Caglar O, Sahin F (2003).Yield response of wheat and barley to inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria at various levels of nitrogen fertilization. J Plant Nutr Soil Sc 166: 262–266.

Palni LMS, Summons RE, Letham DS (1983). Mass spectrometric analysis of cytokinins in plant tissues, V, Identification of the cytokinin complex of *Datura innoxia* crown gall tissue. Plant Physiol 72: 858–863.

Patten CL, Glick BR (2002). Role of *Pseudomonas putida* indole acetic acid in development of the host plant root system. Appl Environ Microb 68: 3795–3801.

Qamaruddin M (1996). Appearance of the zeatin riboside type of cytokinin in *Pinus sylvestris* seeds after red light treatment. Scand J Forest Res 6: 41–46.

Salantur A, Ozturk A, Akten S (2006). Growth and yield response of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to inoculation with rhizobacteria. Plant Soil Environ 52: 111–118.

Shaukat K, Affrasayab S, Hasnain S (2006). Growth responses of *Helianthus annus* to plant growth promoting rhizobacteria used as a biofertilizer. J Agric Res 1: 573–581.

Siddiqui IA, Shaukat SS 2002. Mixtures of plant disease suppressive bacteria enhance biological control of multiple tomato pathogens. Biol Fertil Soil 36: 260–268.

SPSS Inc. (2010). SPSS Inc. SPSS® 18.0 Base User's Guide. New York, NY, USA: Prentice Hall.

Timmusk S, Nicander B, Granhall U, Tillberg E (1999). Cytokinin production by *Paenibacillus polymyxa*. Soil Biol Biochem 31: 1847–1852.

Tozlu E, Karagöz K, Babagil GE., Dizikısa T, Kotan R (2012). Effect of some plant growth promoting bacteria on yield, yield components of dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv. Aras 98). J Agric Faculty Atatürk Univ 43: 101–106.

Turan M, Ataoglu N, Sahin F (2007). Effects of *Bacillus* FS-3 on growth of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) plants and availability of phosphorus in soil. Plant Soil Environ 53: 58–64.

Turan M, Eşitken A, Şahin F (2012). Bacteria in agrobiology: stress management. In: Maheshwari DK, editor. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria as Alleviators for Soil Degradation. New York, NY, USA: Springer, pp. 41–63.

Turan M, Gulluce M, Von Wiren N, Sahin F (2012). Yield promotion and phosphorus solubilization by plant promoting rhizobacteria in extensive wheat production. J Plant Nutr Soil Sc 175: 818–826.

Vikram A (2007). Efficacy of phosphate solubilizing bacteria isolated from vertisols on growth and yield parameters of sorghum. Res J Microbiol 2: 550–559.

Wittenmayer L, Merbach W (2005). Plant responses to drought and phosphorus deficiency: contribution of phytohormones in root-related processes. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 168: 531–540.

Yildirim E, Karlidag H, Turan M, Dursun A, Goktepe F (2011a). Growth, nutrient uptake and yield promotion of broccoli by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria with manure. Hort Science 46: 932–936.

Yildirim E, Turan M, Donmez MF (2008). Mitigation of salt stress in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Romanian Biotec Lett 13: 3933–3943.

Yildirim E, Turan M, Ekinci M, Dursun A, Cakmakci R (2011b). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria ameliorate deleterious effect of salt stress on lettuce. Sci Res Essays 6: 4389–4396.